

Interphase Cytogenetic Abnormalities in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia May Predict Response to Rituximab¹

John C. Byrd,² Lisa Smith, Marcy L. Hackbarth, Ian W. Flinn, Donn Young, John H. Proffitt, and Nyla A. Heerema

The Division of Hematology-Oncology [J. C. B., L. S.], and Departments of Biostatistics [D. Y.] and Pathology [N. A. H.], Ohio State University, Columbus Ohio 43210; Vysis Incorporated, Downers Grove, Illinois [M. L. H., J. H. P.]; and Division of Hematologic Malignancies, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland [I. W. F.]

Abstract

Select cytogenetic abnormalities such as del(17)(p13.1) and del(11)(q22-q23) predict rapid disease progression and inferior survival in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL). We sought to determine the impact of the four most common interphase cytogenetic abnormalities in 28 CLL patients relative to response to three-times-a-week rituximab therapy. Abnormalities were noted in 25 of the 28 patients to include del(13)(q14.3) [$n = 16$ (57%)], del(11)(q22.3) [$n = 10$ (36%)], +12 [$n = 6$ (21%)], del(17)(p13.1) [$n = 5$ (18%)], and normal [$n = 3$ (11%)]. Only a minority of each of these occurred as sole abnormalities. To categorize patients into one specific group, we used the hierarchical order del(17)(p13.1) > del(11)(q22.3) > trisomy 12 > del(13)(q14.3) to prioritize. Response to rituximab was noted to vary by cytogenetic group: del(17)(p13.1), 0% [$n = 5$]; del(11)(q22.3), 66% [$n = 9$]; del(13)(q14.3), 86% [$n = 7$]; +12, 25% [$n = 4$], and normal, 0% [$n = 3$]. Response was significantly lower ($P = 0.05$) in patients with del(17)(p13.1) as compared with those with other abnormalities. These data suggest that interphase cytogenetics in CLL may be predictive of a response to rituximab therapy and provide support for additional studies validating risk-adapted therapy in this disease.

Introduction

CLL³ is the most common type of leukemia observed in the Western Hemisphere and has a variable natural history with respect to time to progression and response to standard cytotoxic therapies. Interphase cytogenetic analysis using FISH reveals chromosomal changes in the majority of CLL samples (1–7) and is superior to standard karyotype analysis for identifying known cytogenetic abnormalities. In the largest comprehensive FISH cytogenetic series published to date (7), the relative incidence of abnormalities occurring at a frequency of 7% or greater included del(13)(q14.3), del(11)(q22-q23), trisomy 12, and del(17)(p13.1). Several of these abnormalities were shown to have various clinical significance, with del(13)(q14) patients having a prolonged time from diagnosis to treatment (median, 92 months) and overall survival (median, 133 months) whereas those patients del(17)(p13.1) and del(11)(q22-q23) have a more rapid time from diagnosis to treatment (9 and 13 months) and an inferior survival (32 and 79 months), respectively (7). The impact of specific metaphase or interphase cytogenetic abnormalities on response to therapy is limited to date. Four small retrospective series have demonstrated lower response rates to chlorambucil or fludarabine when del(17)(p13.1) is present (2, 3, 5, 6), but no study has examined the

impact of the more common poor-risk interphase cytogenetic abnormality del(11)(q22-q23). Similarly, the impact of these abnormalities on response to monoclonal antibodies such as campath-1H or rituximab has not been examined. Herein, we assessed the impact of the four most common interphase cytogenetic abnormalities with respect to response to rituximab in patients with B-cell CLL.

Patients and Methods

Patient Samples and Cell Processing. The patients represent 31 consecutive patients with CLL, as defined by the modified National Cancer Institution 96 criteria (8), who received three-times-a-week rituximab as described previously (9) and from whom pretreatment cryopreserved samples were available for interphase cytogenetic analysis. Written informed consent was obtained from all of the patients before the procurement of cells. Patients were assessed with a detailed clinical evaluation (physical exam with lymph node, liver, and spleen measurement, and complete blood count with differential) 2 months after completing therapy. For patients attaining a clinical complete recovery, a bone marrow biopsy and aspirate was also performed at these times. Criteria for response used the Revised 1996 National Cancer Institute-sponsored Working Group Guidelines (8). As specified by these guidelines, a response had to be maintained for a period of 2 months. CLL cells were obtained before rituximab treatment, and mononuclear cells were isolated from peripheral blood using density-gradient centrifugation (Ficoll-Paque Plus; Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ). The cells were then viably cryopreserved in 10% DMSO, 40% FCS, and 50% RPMI medium.

FISH. Cells from 31 CLL patients were thawed rapidly, washed twice in PBS, diluted to 1×10^6 cells/ml, and treated with 0.075 M KCl for 15 min at 37°. The cells were fixed in 3:1 methanol:acetic acid, and slides for FISH were made by hybridizing probes for del(17)(p13.1), del(13)(q14.3), del(11)(q22.3), and centromere 12. Three of these probes are commercially available from Vysis, Inc. The LSI p53 (17)(p13.1) is 145 kb; LSI D13S319 (13)(q14.3) is ~130 kb; CEP 12 for centromere 12 probes the α satellite region at 12p11.1-q11. All are labeled in SpectrumOrange (Vysis, Inc.). The fourth probe for del(11)(q22.3), available for research use only (Vysis, Inc.), is ~500 kb and will hybridize a locus from D11S1828 to D11S1294 including the ataria telangiectasia mutated gene. The slides were viewed using a Zeiss Axioskop fluorescence microscope equipped with the appropriate filters and imaging software (Perspective System Instrumentation). The number of signals was evaluated in 200 cells for each probe. Standard quality control procedures were used. A control sample was run concurrently with each test run. Before testing patient samples, appropriate specificity and sensitivity were established as specified (10) on cells isolated and cryopreserved in a manner similar to that described for the CLL cells above. The mean + 3 SDs, considered positive for a cytogenetic abnormality in these CLL samples were 4% for centromere 12, 10% for del(13)(q14.3), 9% for del(17)(p13.1), and 10% for del(11)(q22.3). When several cytogenetic abnormalities were present in a given patient, data were categorized using the hierarchical classification described by Dohner *et al.* (7). In this classification, abnormalities are categorized in the following order del(17)(p13.1) > del(11)(q22.3) > +12 > del(13)(q14). Using this classification, a patient having both a del(17)(p13.1) and del(13)(q14) would be categorized to the del(17)(p13.1) group.

Statistical Analysis. Comparisons of response by abnormalities used Fisher's exact test with two-sided P s performed with SPSS version 11.0 statistical software. Our small sample size precluded a multivariate analysis to identify combinations of cytogenetic abnormalities that might have improved our

Received 8/19/02; accepted 11/13/02.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

¹ Supported in part by the National Cancer Institute (Grants P01 CA81534-02 and CA98099), The Sidney Kimmel Cancer Research Foundation, The Leukemia and Lymphoma Society of America, and The D. Warren Brown Foundation

² To whom requests for reprints should be addressed, at Division of Hematology-Oncology, Starling Loving Hall, Room 302, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210. Phone: (614) 293-9321; Fax: (614) 293-7526; E-mail: byrd-3@medctr.osu.edu.

³ The abbreviations used are: CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; FISH, fluorescence *in situ* hybridization.

Table 1 Response to rituximab therapy by prioritized interphase cytogenetics

Prioritization assigned based on presence of specific interphase cytogenetic abnormality in descending order as outlined: del(17p13.1) > del(11q22.3) > +12 > del(13q14.3).

	Total patients	No. of (%) Rai stage III or IV	Median mo (range) from Dx ^a to Ritux	Median (range) no. of therapies	No. (%) flu refractory	No. (%) with partial response to rituximab
del(13q14.3)	7	6 (86)	79 (53–183)	2 (0–6)	4 (57)	6 (86)
del(11q22.3)	9	7 (78)	80 (49–109)	3 (0–6)	6 (66)	6 (66)
del(17p13.1)	5	3 (60)	77 (21–126)	2 (1–7)	2 (40)	0 (0)
+12	4	1 (25)	50 (36–68)	3 (0–4)	2 (50)	1 (25)
Normal	3	3 (100)	66 (15–120)	1 (0–5)	1 (33)	0 (0)

^a Dx, diagnosis; Ritux, rituximab; Flu, fludarabine.

ability to predict patient response to rituximab. However, these findings should prove valuable in designing future studies.

Results and Discussion

A total of 31 consecutive CLL patients treated with rituximab for whom viably preserved CLL cells were available before therapy were studied for the presence of the four most common interphase cytogenetic abnormalities. Of these 31 patient samples, successful hybridization of all of the probes was possible in 28 (90%). The clinical characteristics of these 28 patients include a median age of 64 with 7 (25%) being female. The patients received a median of three (range 0–6) therapies, and 15 (54%) were fludarabine refractory. Advanced stage (modified Rai 3 or 4) was present in 20 (71%) of patients.

Interphase cytogenetic abnormalities were noted in 25 of the 28 patients with adequate FISH samples. The frequency of abnormalities noted were del(13)(q14.3) [$n = 16$ (57%)], del(11)(q22.3) [$n = 10$ (36%)], +12 [$n = 6$ (21%)], and del(17)(p13.1) [$n = 5$ (18%)]. Three patients (11%) lacked any of these genetic lesions. The del(13)(q14.3) was monoallelic loss in 15 patients and biallelic in the remaining patient. Interphase abnormalities were noted in isolation in 7 (44%) of patients with del(13)(q14.3) abnormality, 3 (33%) with del(11)(q22.3), 3 (50%) with trisomy 12, (30%), and 1 (20%) with del(17)(p13.1). A hierarchical classification, used to stratify outcome as previously described (7), included 5 patients with del(17)(p13.1), 9 with del(11)(q22.3), 7 with del(13)(q14.3), 3 with trisomy 12, and 3 patients with no FISH abnormality.

The response to rituximab for these patients using the cytogenetic hierarchical classification is shown in Table 1. Response to rituximab varied significantly based on the prioritized cytogenetic abnormality as shown in Table 1. None of the five patients with del(17)(p13.1) responded, whereas responses were noted in 12 (52%) of 23 of patients without this abnormality ($P = 0.05$). Only two of these del(17)(p13.1) patients were fludarabine refractory at the time of rituximab treatment. Although patients with del(11)(q22.3) have been noted to have rapid progression and inferior survival, six (66%) of the nine patients with this abnormality responded to rituximab. The overall difference in response among the del(11)(q22.3) and the del(17)(p13.1) patients was significantly different ($P = 0.03$). Similarly, six (86%) of the seven patients with the del(13)(q14.3) abnormality responded to rituximab therapy, which was significantly higher ($P = 0.02$) than observed with del(17)(p13.1).

The data presented herein represent, to our knowledge, the first study in CLL that examines interphase cytogenetic abnormalities and relates them to response to rituximab therapy. In this study, we have demonstrated that in patients who have the del(17)(p13.1), detected by interphase cytogenetics abnormality associated with a poor response to standard chemotherapy (2, 3, 5, 6), the del(17)(p13.1) also predicts for lack of response to dose-intensive rituximab. In contrast, patients with the two most common deletions observed in CLL, del(13)(q14.3) and del(11)(q22.3) have a high response rate to rituximab. The dichotomous response among the two high-risk interphase cytogenetic

del(17)(p13.1) and del(11)(q22.3) groups is surprising. This suggests that biological differences may exist in these two genetic subtypes of CLL that produce the divergent response to rituximab. Although both del(17)(p13.1) and del(11)(q22.3) deletions likely occur as secondary events, as demonstrated both by their frequent association with other abnormalities (7) and by their higher frequency in previously treated patients, their biological consequences on the CLL tumor cells are likely different. Because antibody-mediated signaling and apoptosis likely contribute to how rituximab exerts its cytotoxic effect *in vivo*, (11, 12) studies examining the difference in signaling associated with these secondary abnormalities appears warranted.

How can these results be applied to the treatment of patients with CLL? The data described herein extend the observation of others regarding the chemoresistance of del(17)(p13.1) to also include rituximab. This contrasts with preliminary findings of Stilgenbauer *et al.* (13), who demonstrated in a small series that CLL patients with del(17)(p13.1) had clinical responses to Campath-1H. If the findings of Stilgenbauer *et al.* are confirmed in larger cohorts of patients, it would appear that Campath-1H, as opposed to fludarabine, chlorambucil, or rituximab would be a more rational initial treatment choice for patients with del(17)(p13.1). This will be particularly true if new combination regimens of rituximab and fludarabine (14) or fludarabine, cyclophosphamide, and rituximab (15) cannot overcome the resistance associated with del(17)(p13.1). Studies examining this important clinical question are currently under investigation by our group.

References

- Jarsova, M., Jedlickova, K., Holzerova, M., Urbanova, R., Papajik, T., Raida, L., Pikalova, Z., Lakoma, I., Prekopova, I., Kropackova, J., and Indrak, K. Contribution of comparative genomic hybridization and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization to the detection of chromosomal abnormalities in B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Onkologie*, 24: 60–65, 2001.
- Callet-Bauchu, E., Salles, G., Gazzo, S., Poncet, C., Morel, D., Pages, J., Coiffier, B., Coeur, P., and Felman, P. Translocations involving the short arm of chromosome 17 in chronic B-lymphoid disorders: frequent occurrence of dicentric rearrangements and possible association with adverse outcome. *Leukemia (Baltimore)*, 13: 460–468, 1999.
- Cano, I., Martinez, J., Quevedo, E., Pinilla, J., Martin-Recio, A., Rodriguez, A., Castaneda, A., Lopez, R., Perez-Pino, T., and Hernandez-Navarro, F. Trisomy 12 and p53 deletion in chronic lymphocytic leukemia detected by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization: association with morphology and resistance to conventional chemotherapy. *Cancer Genet. Cytogenet.*, 90: 118–124, 1996.
- Dohner, H., Stilgenbauer, S., James, M. R., Benner, A., Weilguni, T., Bentz, M., Fischer, K., Hunstein, W., and Lichter, P. 11q deletions identify a new subset of B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia characterized by extensive nodal involvement and inferior prognosis. *Blood*, 89: 2516–2522, 1997.
- Chevallier, P., Penhler, D., Avet-Loiseau, H., Robillard, N., Ifrah, N., Mahe, B., Hamidou, M., Maisonneuve, H., Moreau, P., Jardel, H., Harousseau, J. L., Bataille, R., and Garand, R. CD38 expression and secondary 17p deletion are important prognostic factors in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Br. J. Haematol.*, 116: 142–145, 2002.
- Dohner, H., Fischer, K., Bentz, M., Hansen, K., Benner, A., Cabot, G., Diehl, D., Schlenk, R., Coy, J., Stilgenbauer, S., and Lichter, P. p53 gene deletion predicts for poor survival and non-response to therapy with purine analogs in chronic B-cell leukemias. *Blood*, 85: 1580–1589, 1995.
- Dohner, H., Stilgenbauer, S., Benner, A., Leupolt, E., Krober, A., Bullinger, L., Dohner, K., Bentz, M., and Lichter, P. Genomic aberrations and survival in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 343: 1910–1916, 2000.
- Cheson, B. D., Bennett, J. M., Grever, M., Kay, N., Keating, M. J., O'Brien, S., and Rai, K. R. National Cancer Institute-sponsored working group guidelines for chronic

- lymphocytic leukemia: revised guidelines for diagnosis and treatment. *Blood*, 87: 4990–4997, 1996.
9. Byrd, J. C., Murphy, T., Howard, R. S., Lucas, M. S., Goodrich, A., Park, K., Pearson, M., Waselenko, J. K., Ling, G., Grever, M. R., Grillo-Lopez, A. J., Rosenberg, J., Kunkel, L., and Flinn, I. W. Rituximab using a thrice weekly dosing schedule in B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia and small lymphocytic lymphoma demonstrates clinical activity and acceptable toxicity. *J. Clin. Oncol.*, 19: 2153–2164, 2001.
 10. M. S. Watson (Ed.) American College of Medical Genetics, Standards and Guidelines for Clinical Genetics Laboratories, Ed. 2, pp. 23–36. Bethesda, MD: American College of Medical Genetics, 1999.
 11. Pedersen, I. M., Buhl, A. M., Klausen, P., Geisler, C. H., and Jurlander, J. The chimeric anti-CD20 antibody rituximab induces apoptosis in B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells through a p38 mitogen activated protein-kinase-dependent mechanism. *Blood*, 99: 1314–1319, 2002.
 12. Byrd, J. C., Kitada, S., Flinn, I. W., Aron, J. L., Pearson, M., Lucas, D., and Reed, J. C. The mechanism of tumor cell clearance by rituximab *in vivo* in patients with B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia: evidence of caspase activation and apoptosis induction. *Blood*, 99: 1038–1043, 2002.
 13. Stilgenbauer, S., Scherer, K., Krober, A., Bullinger, L., Hochsmann, B., Mayer-Steinacker, R., Bunjes, D., and Dohner, H. Campath-1H in refractory B-CLL-Complete Remission Despite *p53* gene mutation. *Blood*, 98: 771, 2001.
 14. Byrd, J. C., Peterson, B. L., Morrison, B. L., Park, K., Jacobson, R., Hoke, E., Rai, K., Schiffer, C. A., and Larson, R. A. Randomized Phase II study of fludarabine with concurrent versus sequential treatment with rituximab in symptomatic, untreated patients with B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia: results from CALGB 9712. *Blood*, in press, 2002.
 15. Wierda, W., O'Brien, S., Albitar, M., Lerner, S., Plunkett, W., Giles, F., Andreeff, M., Cortes, J., Fader, S., Thomas, D., Koller, C., Kantarjian, H., and Keating, M. Combined fludarabine, cyclophosphamide, and rituximab achieves a high complete remission rate as initial treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood*, 98: 721, 2001.